

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/777,274	MARCADE ET AL.
	Examiner Dave Willse	Art Unit 3738

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Earl Bright. (3) Exmr. Willse.
 (2) John Hanley. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 67.

Identification of prior art discussed: 455,575, 817

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

* Applicant suggested adding, in cl 67, line 7, that the extender is configured to mate with the first leg after the body is placed in the vasculature; this appears to distinguish over Martin, 455,575, 817.

10/236,151 was also discussed.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required